
1/3

TECT C	CHEDI	II E CA	CTALIA	STAT076
IESI S	CHEDU	JLE GA	SIALIA	SIAIU/O

22111112		
SOLVING	BEAM PROBLEM	SOL.SAR.STAT076
FINITE ELEMENT	SOLVER	CLEVER (SARGON ©)



Problem description:

Hinged portal frame, projecting beam. Constant distributed load.

Keywords (english): validation, benchmark, statics, finite elements, fem, solver, precision, reliability,

quality control, beam, error measure

Keywords (italian): validazione, benchmark, statica, elementi finiti, fem, solutore, precisione, affidabilità,

controllo qualità, travi, misura di errore

Editorial note:

Picture are from program CESCOPLUS, a plane frame program by Castalia srl. CESCOPLUS uses its own solver to compute displacement and stresses. Target values are based on theoretical values, cross check values or accepted values. Where "theoretical" values are used, target values have been computed using well known formulae and/or published results, they have absolutely *not* been taken equal to those shown in pictures, which have been obtained by CESCOPLUS (since this schedule tests Sargon, the check would have otherwise been a cross check between CESCOPLUS and SARGON). Target values equalness with picture values – if shown - is thus a consequence of CESCOPLUS precision, the assessment of which is not the main goal of this schedule. CESCOPLUS results are shown to easy the careful cheking of stress state and the understanding of the test itself. Since Sargon is a 3D program its graphical conventions about constraints are not as easy to understand as those of CESCOPLUS, that's why CESCOPLUS pictures have been used to describe the problem.

Note:

Shear area is not used, that is shear energy neglected. Dxi and Dzi are the offsets from lower Z alignment leftmost available node.

2/3

TEST SCHEDULE CASTALIA STAT076

SOLVING	BEAM PROBLEM	SOL.SAR.STAT076
FINITE ELEMENT	SOLVER	CLEVER (SARGON ©)

									•		
			GF	OMETRY 8	CON	STRAIN	ITS				\neg
											onstraints
3000	_			4000				1000 -			As shown
LOAD											
	Тур	е			Value			Po	oint of applica	ation	
force	dist	ributed		2.5	2.570e+000			Projecting beam			
									=		
	-										
	-										
MATERIAL]										Fe360
f _v [N/mm ²]	f _u	[N/mm ²]	Е	[N/mm ²]	,	V	α				
2.350e+002	3.	.600e+002	2.0	060e+005	3.000	De-001	1.200e-005				•
CROSS-SECTI	CROSS-SECTION Sezione1										
A $[mm^2]$ $J_2[mm^4]$		J ₃ [m	m⁴]	J _t [mm ⁴]		\	N_2 [mm 3]	W	3 [mm ³]		
1.000e+000 1.000e+000		1.0006	+000	1.000e+000		1	.000e+000	1.0	00e+000		
W_{pl2} [mm ³] W_{pl3} [mm		n³]	i ₂ [m	m]	i ₃ [mm]			i _t [mm]			
1.000e+00	0	1.000e+000 1.000e+000 1		1.0	00e+000	1	.000e+000				
OTHER DATA	OTHER DATA										

TARGET VALUES	vs	COMPUTED VALUES	
---------------	----	-----------------	--

Description	Τ _ν	T_{vK}	C _v	$(C_v - T_v)$	$100\frac{T_{\nu}-C_{\nu}}{C_{\nu}}$
Shear T3, I extreme. Beam # 1. Load case # 1	8.5037e+001	Th	8.5037e+001	-2.7735e-006	-0.0000
Bending M2, I extreme. Beam # 1. Load case # 1	0.0000e+000	Th	0.0000e+000	0.0000e+000	0.0000
Bending M2, J extreme. Beam # 1. Load case # 1	-3.4015e+005	Th	-3.4015e+005	1.1054e-002	-0.0000
Bending M2, I extreme. Beam # 2. Load case # 1	-9.4485e+005	Th	-9.4485e+005	-1.1054e-002	0.0000

Cv computed value Tv target value

TvK target value kind (theoretical, cross check, accepted).

theoretical value Th

Cr cross check value (theoretical target value is not known, results obtained with a different

program are used as target values).

Ac accepted value (a value which, on the basis of some argument, can be considered acceptable).

100(Tv-Cv) / Cv relative error percentage

Computational notes:

Ing. Marco Croci, Ing. Paolo Rugarli checksolvers.exe, by Castalia srl. Authors: Computed errors:

